



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,964	03/23/2004	Steven J. Ponessa	END920030156US1	2341
30449	7590	08/01/2007	EXAMINER	
SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS			SANDERS, AARON J	
22 CENTURY HILL DRIVE				
SUITE 302				
LATHAM, NY 12110				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/806,964	Applicant(s) PONESSA, STEVEN J.	
	Examiner Aaron Sanders	Art Unit 2168	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Aaron Sanders.

(3) Jack Friedman.

(2) S. R. Pannala.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11, 21 and 31.


Identification of prior art discussed: Prompt et al., U.S. 2001/0034733.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed the objections to the specification, the claims, and the drawings, and the 35 USC 112 rejection of claim 31. The Examiner and Applicant's representative further discussed the prior art rejections of claims 1, 11, 21, and 31.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
SATHYANARAYAN PANNALA  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 7.24.07  
Examiner's signature, if required